Hard Scattering in Hadron-Hadron Collisions: Physics and Anatomy

Section 5: Identification of Charged Leptons

1. Sources of leptons
2. ID techniques for electrons, muons and taus
3. Identification efficiencies
4. Background considerations
5. Example: Top quark decays to τ leptons

Sources of Leptons

- **In hadron-hadron collisions, leptons arise from**
  - **Semileptonic decay of heavy quarks (t/b/c)**
  - **W and Z boson decay**
  - **Drell-Yan production**
  - **"Onia" production/decay**
- **Various sources of backgrounds**
  - **Electrons**
    - Photon conversions
    - Misidentified jets
  - **Muons**
    - Cosmic rays
    - Decays-in-flight of hadrons
  - **Taus**
    - Misidentified jets

P_T of Parent Particles at LHC



P_T of Leptons at LHC



dσ/dpt (pb/5 GeV)

Courtesy of M. Mangano

Electron Identification Strategies

- **Identification makes use of**
  - **Calorimeter**
    - Shower shape and location
  - **Charged particle reconstruction**
    - Position matching
    - Energy vs Momentum
  - **TRD and/or dEdX**
    - TRD perhaps has the highest rejection power





- **Strategy works well, but**
  - **Depends on a large number of ID variables**
    - Have to be well-modelled
  - **Requires "isolated" lepton candidates**
    - Electrons from b/c decays difficult to reconstruct
  - **Have correlation between tracks & calorimetry in trigger**

Trigger Efficiency from Z->e+e-



ID Efficiency from Z->e+e-



Backgrounds to Electrons

- **Backgrounds have to be measured**
  - **Conversions (γ-> e^+e^-)**
    - Source of real electrons (about 30-40% of electrons above P_T>12 GeV/c)
      - **Search for partner leg, or**
      - **No charged track**
    - Large background, but also a good control sample
  - **Jets**
    - π^+/π^0 overlap
      - **Two pions overlap & mimic electron signature**
    - Charge-exchange
      - **$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^0 n$ early in calorimeter**
- **Can get to relatively pure samples**
  - **S/N > 10-100, depending on process**
  - **ATLAS/CMS expect to be able to do very well**
    - Z->e^+e^- provides excellent "standard candle"







Cut-based results vs likelihood

Performance of ATLAS

- **Developed sets of ID cuts that efficiently select electrons**
  - **Key is to separate "prompt" electrons from**
    - Conversions (γ->e^+e^-)
    - Candidate from hadrons/jets
  - **Use two variables**
    - Number of hits in pixel detector
      - **Conversions typically have fewer**
    - Fraction of large pulse-height "hits" in TRT
- **Can then solve for the three components**

$$N = N^h + N^\gamma + N^Q$$
$$N_{TR} = N^h \varepsilon^h_{TR} + N^\gamma \varepsilon^\gamma_{TR} + N^Q \varepsilon^Q_{TR}$$
$$N_{BL,TR} = N^h \varepsilon^h_{BL} \varepsilon^h_{TR} + N^\gamma \varepsilon^\gamma_{BL} \varepsilon^\gamma_{TR} + N^Q \varepsilon^Q_{BL} \varepsilon^Q_{TR},$$









ATLAS-CONF-2010-073

Component	$h \to e$		$\gamma \to e$		$Q \to e$	
Method	Matrix	Likelihood	Matrix	Likelihood	Matrix	Likelihood
Fraction of electron candidates	65.2 ± 0.4	65.4 ± 0.3	19.8 ± 0.2	19.4 ± 0.2	15.0 ± 0.2	15.2 ± 0.2

Cut-Based Lepton ID Selections

- **Electron ID uses a large number of variables**
  - **Traditionally, define selection criteria**
  - **Measure efficiencies of each cut in well-understood control sample**
    - Relax the cut and see how control sample responds
- **Challenge is how to measure efficiencies & backgrounds**
  - **Need to understand correlations between cuts**
  - **Multiple control samples are very helpful**
  - **Remember trigger also performs selection!**

Abulencia et al. (CDF), J. Phys G 34, 2457 (2007)



- **Likelihood techniques in principle more efficient**
  - **But more difficult to understand in detail**
  - **Correlations are important to get right**

Z Selection and Efficiency

Selection Criteria	Number of Events	Net Acceptance
Total Events	507500	-
$\lvert z_{\rm vtx}\rvert < 60$ cm	490756	-
66 GeV/c^2 < M_{ee}(Gen) < 116 GeV/c^2	376523	-
Central EM Cluster	363994	0.9667 ± 0.0003
Calorimeter Fiducial Cuts	299530	0.7955 ± 0.0007
Electron Track $p_T > 10$ GeV/c	252881	0.6716 ± 0.0008
EM Cluster $E_T > 25$ GeV	186318	0.4948 ± 0.0008
Second EM cluster (Central or Plug)	176417	0.4685 ± 0.0008
Second Cluster Calorimeter Fiducial Cuts	146150	0.3882 ± 0.0008
Second Electron Track $p_T > 10$ GeV/c (Central)	138830	0.3687 ± 0.0008
Second EM Cluster $E_T > 25$ GeV (Central), 20 GeV (Plug)	125074	0.3322 ± 0.0008
Second EM Cluster $E_{\rm had}/E_{\rm em} < 0.125$ (Plug)	124881	0.3317 ± 0.0008
66 GeV/c^2 < M_{ee}(Rec) < 116 GeV/c^2	120575	0.3202 ± 0.0008
Opposite Charge (Central-Central)	119925	0.3185 ± 0.0008

Example: Conversion Removal

- **To identify conversions, CDF uses presence of 2nd track & SVX hits**
  - **~85% efficiency in identifying conversions in electron sample with P_T>9 GeV/c**
    - Residual background depends on other cuts
  - **Significant "over-efficiency"**
    - Probability of misidentifying a prompt electron as γ
    - Measure this using Z->e^+e^- decays
    - Get between 5-10%, depending on details of algorithm
      - **Measured to be 4.5±0.6%**
  - **Check against W's**
    - "Bump" at high MET are Ws identified as conversions
    - Gives a consistent answer







Muon Identification

- **All techniques are based on highly-penetrating nature of γ**
  - **Have large amount of material (>10 λ)**
    - Require min-ionizing particle
      - **Calorimeter energy deposition**
  - **Track particles before and after material**
    - Momentum analyze
  - **Shown to be very effective**
    - High rejection factors, especially with isolation
- **Backgrounds are primarily**
  - **Cosmic rays**
  - **Decay-in-flight for lower momentum candidates**
  - **Size of background depends critically on other requirements**



D0 Material Inventory vs Azimuth (quarter of detector)





CDF, PRL 99, 132001 (2007)

Muon ID Efficiencies

- **Efficiencies for high-P_T μ determined from Z->$\mu^+\mu^-$**
  - **Select events requiring one μ candidate P_T > 20 GeV/c**
  - **Look at efficiency of reconstructing second leg**
- **Can get MC/simulation to agree approximately**
  - **Predicts 92.1% efficiency, but measure 88.6±0.9%**
  - **Rejection hard to quantify**
    - Key question is "rejection from what?"
      - **In some sense, not relevant if one measures remnant background directly**
    - Limiting backgrounds are
      - **Cosmic rays**
      - **QCD jets "punching through"**



	data		MC	
No cuts applied	1153		28271	
Em Cut	1126	0.9766 ± 0.0044	27203	0.9622 ± 0.0011
Had Cut	1131	0.9809 ± 0.0040	27654	0.9782 ± 0.0009
Cot Cut	1128	0.9783 ± 0.0043	28226	0.9984 ± 0.0002
d0 Cut	1150	0.9974 ± 0.0015	28254	0.9994 ± 0.0001
isol Cut	1126	0.9766 ± 0.0045	27692	0.9795 ± 0.0008
dxcmu Cut	1114	0.9662 ± 0.0053	28228	0.9985 ± 0.0002
all Cuts	1022	0.8864 ± 0.0093	26025	0.9206 ± 0.0016
all (w/o isol) Cuts	1044	0.9055 ± 0.0086	26523	0.9382 ± 0.0014

Backgrounds to Muons

- **Backgrounds depend on the physics process & other requirements**
  - **Already see that dilepton signals are very clean**
  - **Probably most difficult region is high momentum**
    - Example is W' -> $\mu\nu_\mu$
      - **Only high P_T object in detector is μ candidate**
    - Data comes from Run 1 with 100 pb^{-1}
- **Difficult to find a signal limited by backgrounds!**
  - **Most backgrounds at high P_T are "intrinsic" -- ie., have a real μ**



Muon Backgrounds at ATLAS

CDF, PRL 84, 5716 (2000)

- **ATLAS muon reconstruction is intrinsically cleaner**
  - **The primary background sources are hadrons decaying in flight**
    - Become neglible at large p_T
  - **See this most clearly when looking at Z -> μμ decays**
    - Very clean sample, with less than 1% background from non-m
- **Efficiency overall is ~97%**
  - **Comes from a combined strategy of matching tracks in inner detector with muon spectrometer**
  - **This sample shows how effective "isolation" is in separating out the different sources**
    - Heavy flavour decay
    - Z and ttbar production





Comment on Isolation

- **Isolation requirements on lepton candidates appear to be powerful tool.**
- **Why?**
  - **Think about backgrounds:**
    - Jets faking leptons tend to be associated with additional particles
    - Semileptonic decays of b/c jets also have associated energy
  - **On the other hand, leptons from W/Z decay are generally isolated**
- **But many forms of "isolation cuts"**
  - **Some implicit**
    - Example: lepton ID criteria
  - **Some explicit**
    - Energy (or charged tracks) in a cone $\Delta R=0.2$ or $\Delta R=0.4$
      - **Cut on ratio of E_T in cone to lepton candidate (10% typical)**
- **However, there are many ways to look at isolation**
  - **Example comes from B -> $\psi(2S)\pi\pi$**
  - **Form cone of $\Delta R=1.0$ around B candidate**
    - Sum up tracks not associated with B candidate
    - Reject events with $I_B>7/13$



$$I_B = \frac{\sum_{i \notin B}^{R} \vec{p}_i \bullet \vec{p}_B}{|\vec{p}_B|}$$

Tau Lepton Identification

- **Tau leptons difficult to identify**
  - **Decay to either**
    - Leptonic final state (μ/e+νν)
      - **37% of time**
    - Hadronic final states
      - **12% with single charged particle**
      - **37% with h^- + neutral hadrons**
  - **Look for low-multiplicity "jets"**
    - Work to reconstruct π^0
    - Shower shape cuts to reduce QCD backgrounds
  - **Use track multiplicity to estimate observed yield**
    - 26 pb of W->$\tau\nu_\tau$
      - **Compare with 500 pb of W->eν_e**
      - **Factor of x20 lower efficiency**
    - Purity also about x10 worse
- **Means that tau physics has been "poor" cousin to electrons & muons**

Tau Listing, 2008 PDG

Modes with one charged particle

particle$^-$ ≥ 0 neutrals ≥ 0$K^0\nu_\tau$ ("1-prong")		(85.36±0.08) %
particle$^-$ ≥ 0 neutrals ≥ 0$K^0_L\nu_\tau$		(84.73±0.08) %
$\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu\nu_\tau$	[g]	(17.36±0.05) %
$\mu^-\nu_\mu\nu_\tau\gamma$	[e]	(3.6 ±0.4) × 10^{-3}
$e^-\nu_e\nu_\tau$	[g]	(17.85±0.05) %
$e^-\bar{\nu}_e\nu_\tau\gamma$	[e]	(1.75±0.18) %
h^- ≥ 0K^0_L ν_τ		(12.13±0.07) %
$h^-\nu_\tau$		(11.60±0.06) %
$\pi^-\nu_\tau$	[g]	(10.91±0.07) %
$K^-\nu_\tau$	[g]	(6.95±0.23) × 10^{-3}
h^- ≥ 1 neutralsν_τ		(37.08±0.11) %
h^- ≥ 1$\pi^0\nu_\tau$ (ex.K^0)		(36.54±0.11) %
$h^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$		(25.95±0.10) %
$\pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$	[g]	(25.52±0.10) %



A. Safanov (for CDF Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. B 144, 323 (2005)

Tau Lepton Reconstruction

- **Why is τ reconstruction so lousy?**
  - **Have at least one ν, sometimes several**
    - Compromises energy measurement
    - Reduces energy scale (and efficiency)
  - **Reject decays to $l\nu_l\nu_\tau$**
    - Background from leptons too large
  - **Reliance on charged tracking information and π^0 reconstruction**
    - Hit by BR and reconstruction efficiencies
  - **Trigger is less efficient**
    - Presence of ν in effect pushes up the minimum ν P_T
    - Work to add other information
      - **τ + MET trigger**
      - **τ + lepton trigger**
      - **Help but don't solve the fundamental problem**

- **Criteria for τ identification**
  - **1 charged track + evidence of π^0**
    - Requires reconstruction of π^0 in EM calorimeter
    - BR ~ 1/3!
  - **Look for "narrow" jet**
    - Seed tower E_T>6 GeV
    - Seed track P_T>4.5 GeV/c
    - <=6 towers with E_T>1 GeV in cluster
    - Overall efficiency of ~50%
  - **A further "isolation" cut to reduce backgrounds from QCD jets**
    - Typical cut: E_T in cone R=0.4 < 10% of τ candidate E_T
    - About 60-70% efficient
- **Loss of x10 compared with e or μ**
  - **And backgrounds still high**

Example: Top decaying to $\tau\nu_\tau b$

- **Top quark decays to τ lepton should be observable**
  - **Also key signature for H^+**
- **Analysis strategy**
  - **Look for isolated e or μ**
  - **Isolated τ candidate**
  - **MET > GeV**
  - **>=2 jets**
    - Leading jet E_T > 25 GeV and 2nd jet E_T > 15 GeV
    - Reduce Z->ττ
  - **Require significant energy in event**
    - H_T > 205 GeV



http://www-cdf.fnal.gov/physics/new/top/2012/ttbar_taulep_xsec_9invfb/Publicpage.html



- **MET distribution for electron+τ**
  - **Kinematic cuts**
  - **Require >=2 jet**

CDF Results

- **Backgrounds dominated by "fake" τ candidates**
  - **To estimate, use dijet data**
    - Create "fake matrix" that gives probability of jet passing τ criteria
    - Have to be careful about "denominator"
      - **Also correlations with rest of event**
      - **Primary background from W+jets**
- **See 290 candidate events in 9 fb^{-1}**
  - **Expect 60 from top quark production**
- **This is hard!**
  - **ee/eμ/μμ + 2 jets (1 b tag) has 80 candidate events with 2.8 fb^{-1}**
    - Estimate 4 background!
  - **Guess that ~8(?) of these are from ttbar -> ττbb**

	e+tau (events)	mu + tau (events)
Jet -> tau	65±14	36±8
Drell Yan	54±11	47±10
Top	33±3	26±3
WW	2.4±0.3	1.8±0.3
Total expected	154±20	110±14
Observed	175	115



LHC τ's are not poor cousins

- **Use similar techniques to separate τ candidate**
  - **The width of the EM energy deposition**
  - **The width of the charged track energy deposition**
  - **The invariant mass of the charged tracks**
- **Can measure rejection rates from jets and electrons**
  - **Get rejection rates of order 20-100**
  - **With these, can see a clear Z -> ττ -> eμ signal**
    - A little bit of a cheat, as it only looks for events with $25 < m_{e\mu} < 80$ GeV/c^2
  - **A good start allowing one to build toward a full analysis**
  - **Efficiencies only 10-20% that for electrons, muons**







ATLAS-CONF-2011-045